Proof of a conjecture involving Sun polynomials

Victor J. W. Guo[1], Guo-Shuai Mao[2], Hao Pan[3]

[1]School of Mathematical Sciences, Huaiyin Normal University, Huai'an, Jiangsu 223300, People's Republic of China
jwguo@hytc.edu.cn

[2,3]Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, People's Republic of China
mg1421007@smail.nju.edu.cn, haopan79@zoho.com

arXiv:1511.04005v2 [math.NT] 28 Dec 2015

Abstract. The Sun polynomials $g_n(x)$ are defined by

$$g_n(x)=\sum_{k=0}^n\binom{n}{k}^2\binom{2k}{k}x^k.$$

We prove that, for any positive integer n, there hold

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(4k+3)g_k(x)\in\mathbb{Z}[x],\quad\text{and}$$
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(8k^2+12k+5)g_k(-1)\equiv 0\pmod n.$$

The first one confirms a recent conjecture of Z.-W. Sun, while the second one partially answers another conjecture of Z.-W. Sun. We give three different proofs of the former. One of them depends on the following congruence:

$$\binom{m+n-2}{m-1}\binom{n}{m}\binom{2n}{n}\equiv 0\pmod{m+n}\quad\text{for } m,n\geqslant 1.$$

Keywords: congruence; Sun polynomials; Chu-Vandermonde's identity; q-binomial coefficients; reciprocal and unimodal polynomials; Zeilberger's algorithm.

MR Subject Classifications: 11A07, 11B65, 05A10

1 Introduction

Recently, Z.-W. Sun [20] introduced the polynomials

$$g_n(x)=\sum_{k=0}^n\binom{n}{k}^2\binom{2k}{k}x^k,$$

which we call *Sun polynomials* here, and proved many interesting identities and congruences involving $g_n(x)$, such as

$$\frac{1}{3n^2}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(4k+3)g_k=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\frac{1}{k+1}\binom{2k}{k}\binom{n-1}{k}^2,$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1}kg_k\equiv-\frac{3}{4}\pmod{p^2},$$

where p is an odd prime and $g_k=g_k(1)$. Z.-W. Sun [19] also conjectured that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{16k+5}{324^k}\binom{2k}{k}g_k(-20)=\frac{189}{25\pi}.$$

Some other congruences involving g_n can be found in [10, 18, 19].

The Sun polynomials also satisfy the following identities [20, (2.7),(2.11)]:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}f_k(x)=g_n(x),$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}\binom{n+k}{k}(-1)^{n-k}g_k(x)=A_n(x),$$

where $f_n(x)$ and $A_n(x)$ are respectively the Franel polynomials and Apéry polynomials [17] defined as

$$f_n(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}^2\binom{2k}{n}x^k,$$

$$A_n(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}^2\binom{n+k}{k}^2x^k.$$

The objective of this paper is to prove the following result, which was originally conjectured by Z.-W. Sun (see [20, Conjecture 4.1(ii)]).

Theorem 1.1 *Let n be a positive integer. Then*

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(4k+3)g_k(x)\in\mathbb{Z}[x], \tag{1.1}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(8k^2+12k+5)g_k(-1)\equiv0\pmod{n},. \tag{1.2}$$

Remark. For the congruence (1.2), Z.-W. Sun [20] made the following stronger conjecture:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(8k^2+12k+5)g_k(-1)\equiv n^2 \pmod{2n^2}, \tag{1.3}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1}(8k^2+12k+5)g_k(-1)\equiv 3p^2 \pmod{p^3}, \tag{1.4}$$

where p is a prime.

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we need to establish some preliminary results in Section 3. However, since the following result is interesting in its own right, we label it as a theorem here.

Theorem 1.2 *Let m and n be positive integers. Then*

$$\binom{m+n-2}{m-1}\binom{n}{m}\binom{2n}{n}\equiv 0 \pmod{m+n}. \tag{1.5}$$

It is worth mentioning that Gessel [5, Section 7] proved a similar result as follows:

$$\frac{m}{2}\binom{2m}{m}\binom{2n}{n}\equiv 0 \pmod{m+n},$$

of which a generalization was given by the author [6, Theorem 1.4].

The paper is organized as follows. Applying the same techniques in [6, 7], we shall prove a q-analogue of Theorem 1.2 in the next section. In Section 3, we give three lemmas, one of which is closely related to Theorem 1.2. Two proofs of (1.1) and a proof of (1.2) will be given in Section 4. The second proof of (1.1) is motivated by Sun [20, Lemma 3.4] and its proof. We shall also give a q-analogue (the third proof) of (1.1) in Section 5. We end the paper in Section 6 with a related conjecture.

2 A q-analogue of Theorem 1.2

Recall that the *q-binomial coefficients* are defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \begin{cases} \displaystyle\prod_{i=1}^{k}\frac{1-q^{n-k+i}}{1-q^i}, & \text{if } 0\leqslant k\leqslant n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We now state the announced strengthening of Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 2.1 *Let m and n be positive integers. Then*

$$\frac{1-q}{1-q^{m+n}}\begin{bmatrix} m+n-2 \\ m-1 \end{bmatrix}_q\begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q\begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q \tag{2.1}$$

is a polynomial in q with non-negative integer coefficients.

It is clear that Theorem 1.2 can be deduced from Theorem 2.1 by letting $q \to 1$.

A polynomial $A(q) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} a_i q^i$ in q of degree d is called *reciprocal* if $a_i = a_{d-i}$ for all i, and that it is called *unimodal* if there is an index r such that $0 \leqslant a_0 \leqslant \cdots \leqslant a_r \geqslant \cdots \geqslant a_d \geqslant 0$. The following is an elementary but crucial property of reciprocal and unimodal polynomials (see, for example, [1] or [15, Proposition 1]).

Lemma 2.2 *If $A(q)$ and $B(q)$ are reciprocal and unimodal polynomials, then so is their product $A(q)B(q)$.*

Similarly to the proof of [7, Theorem 3.1], we also need the following result. We refer the reader to [13, Proposition 10.1.(iii)] and [3, Proof of Theorem 2] for similar mathematical ideas.

Lemma 2.3 [7, Lemma 5.1] *Let $P(q)$ be a reciprocal and unimodal polynomial and m and n positive integers with $m \leqslant n$. Furthermore, assume that $\frac{1-q^m}{1-q^n}P(q)$ is a polynomial in q. Then $\frac{1-q^m}{1-q^n}P(q)$ has non-negative coefficients.*

Proof of Theorem 2.1. It is well known that the q-binomial coefficients are reciprocal and unimodal polynomials in q (see, for example, [16, Ex. 7.75.d]). By Lemma 2.2, so is the product of three q-binomial coefficients. In view of Lemma 2.3, to prove Theorem 2.1, it suffices to show that the expression (2.1) is a polynomial in q. We shall accomplish this by considering a count of cyclotomic polynomials.

Recall that

$$q^n - 1 = \prod_{d|n} \Phi_d(q),$$

where $\Phi_d(q)$ denotes the d-th cyclotomic polynomial in q. Therefore,

$$\frac{1-q}{1-q^{m+n}} \begin{bmatrix} m+n-2 \\ m-1 \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q = \prod_{d=2}^{2n} \Phi_d(q)^{e_d},$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} e_d = & -\chi(d \mid m+n) + \left\lfloor \frac{m+n-2}{d} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{2n}{d} \right\rfloor \\ & - \left\lfloor \frac{m-1}{d} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{d} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{m}{d} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{d} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{n-m}{d} \right\rfloor, \end{aligned}$$

where $\chi(\mathcal{S}) = 1$ if $\mathcal{S}$ is true and $\chi(\mathcal{S}) = 0$ otherwise. The number e_d is obviously non-negative, unless $d \mid m+n$.

So, let us assume that $d \mid m+n$ and $d \geqslant 2$. We consider two cases: If $d \mid m$, then $d \mid n$, and so

$$\left\lfloor \frac{m+n-2}{d} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{m-1}{d} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{d} \right\rfloor = \frac{m+n-d}{d} - \frac{m-d}{d} - \frac{n-d}{d} = 1.$$

Namely, $e_d = 0$ is non-negative; If $d \nmid m$, then

$$\left\lfloor \frac{2n}{d} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{m}{d} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{d} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{n-m}{d} \right\rfloor = \frac{m+n}{d} - \left\lfloor \frac{m}{d} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{d} \right\rfloor = 1.$$

That is, $e_d = 0$ is still non-negative. This completes the proof of polynomiality of (2.1). □

3 Some preliminary results

Lemma 3.1 *Let n be a non-negative integer. Then*

$$\binom{x}{n}^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{x}{n+k}\binom{n+k}{k}\binom{n}{k}. \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. Applying Chu-Vandermonde's identity (see, for example, [9, p. 32])

$$\binom{x}{n} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{x-n}{k}\binom{n}{k},$$

and noticing that $\binom{x}{n}\binom{x-n}{k} = \binom{x}{n+k}\binom{n+k}{k}$, we obtain (3.1). In fact, Eq. (3.1) is a special case of [14, p. 15, Eq. (9)]. □

Lemma 3.2 *Let n be a positive integer and let $0 \leqslant k \leqslant n$. Then*

$$\sum_{m=k}^{n-1}(4m+3)\binom{m}{k} = (4n-1)\binom{n}{k+1} - 4\binom{n}{k+2},$$

$$\sum_{m=k}^{n-1}(8m^2+12m+5)\binom{m}{k} = (8n^2-4n+1)\binom{n}{k+1} - (16n-12)\binom{n}{k+2} + 16\binom{n}{k+3}.$$

Proof. Proceed by induction on n. □

Lemma 3.3 *Let m and n be non-negative integers. Then*

$$\binom{m+n}{m}\binom{n+1}{m}\binom{2n}{n}\frac{3m^2+n^2+m+n}{(m+n)(n+1)} \equiv 0 \pmod{m+n+1}.$$

Proof. Note that

$$\frac{3m^2+n^2+m+n}{(m+n)(n+1)} = \frac{3m(m+n+1)}{(m+n)(n+1)} + \frac{n(m+n+1)}{(m+n)(n+1)} - \frac{2m(2n+1)}{(m+n)(n+1)}.$$

Since $\frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2n}{n} = \binom{2n}{n} - \binom{2n}{n-1}$ is an integer (the n-th Catalan number), we see that

$$\binom{m+n}{m}\binom{2n}{n}\frac{m}{(m+n)(n+1)} = \binom{m+n-1}{m-1}\binom{2n}{n}\frac{1}{n+1},$$

and

$$\binom{m+n}{m}\binom{2n}{n}\frac{n}{(m+n)(n+1)} = \binom{m+n-1}{m}\binom{2n}{n}\frac{1}{n+1},$$

are both integers. It remains to show that

$$\binom{m+n}{m}\binom{n+1}{m}\binom{2n}{n}\frac{2m(2n+1)}{(m+n)(n+1)} \equiv 0 \pmod{m+n+1},$$

i.e.,

$$\binom{m+n-1}{m-1}\binom{n+1}{m}\binom{2n+2}{n+1} \equiv 0 \pmod{m+n+1}.$$

But this is just the $n \to n+1$ case of the congruence (1.5). □

Lemma 3.4 *Let*

$$S_n = f_{n-3}(-1) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-3}(-1)^k\binom{2k}{k}\binom{n-3}{k}\binom{k}{n-k-3}.$$

Then there hold the following congruences:

$$S_{3n} \equiv S_{3n+1} \equiv -S_{3n+2} \pmod 3, \tag{3.2}$$

$$S_{4n+2} \equiv 0 \pmod 4, \tag{3.3}$$

$$S_{n+2} + 12S_{n+1} + 16S_n \equiv 0 \pmod n. \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. Zeilberger's algorithm [9, 12] gives the following recurrence relation for S_n:

$$\begin{aligned}&(5n^3-8n^2)S_{n+3} + (45n^3-117n^2+90n-24)S_{n+2}\\ &\quad + (200n^3-720n^2+824n-288)S_{n+1} + (160n^3-736n^2+1024n-384)S_n = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Replacing n by $3n-1$ in (3.5), we obtain

$$-S_{3n+2} - S_{3n} \equiv 0 \pmod 3,$$

while replacing n by $3n+1$ in (3.5), we get

$$S_{3n+2} + S_{3n+1} \equiv 0 \pmod 3.$$

This proves (3.2). Similarly, replacing n by $4n-1$ in (3.5), we are led to (3.3).

In order to prove (3.4), we need to consider four cases:

- If $\gcd(n,24)=1$, then (3.5) means that $-24(S_{n+2}+12S_{n+1}+16S_n)\equiv 0 \pmod{n}$, i.e., the congruence (3.4) holds.

- If $\gcd(n,24)=2,4,8$, then (3.5) means that

$$\pm 2nS_{n+2}-24(S_{n+2}+12S_{n+1}+16S_n)\equiv 0 \pmod{8n}.$$

  By (3.3), we have $2nS_{n+2}\equiv 0 \pmod{8n}$ in this case, and so the congruence (3.4) holds.

- If $\gcd(n,24)=3$, then (3.5) means that

$$2nS_{n+1}+nS_n-24(S_{n+2}+12S_{n+1}+16S_n)\equiv 0 \pmod{3n}.$$

  By (3.2), we have $2nS_{n+1}+nS_n\equiv 0 \pmod{3n}$ in this case, and so the congruence (3.4) holds.

- If $\gcd(n,24)=6,12,24$, then (3.5) means that

$$30nS_{n+2}+8nS_{n+1}+16nS_n-24(S_{n+2}+12S_{n+1}+16S_n)\equiv 0 \pmod{24n}, \quad \text{or}$$

$$18nS_{n+2}+8nS_{n+1}+16nS_n-24(S_{n+2}+12S_{n+1}+16S_n)\equiv 0 \pmod{24n}.$$

  By (3.3), we have $30nS_{n+2}\equiv 18nS_{n+2}\equiv 0 \pmod{24n}$ and $8nS_{n+1}+16nS_n\equiv 0 \pmod{24n}$ in this case, and so the congruence (3.4) still holds.

□

4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

First Proof of (1.1). By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{m=0}^{n-1}(4m+3)g_m(x)\\
&=\sum_{m=0}^{n-1}(4m+3)\sum_{k=0}^{m}\binom{m}{k}^2\binom{2k}{k}x^k\\
&=\sum_{m=0}^{n-1}(4m+3)\sum_{k=0}^{m}\binom{2k}{k}x^k\sum_{i=0}^{k}\binom{m}{k+i}\binom{k+i}{i}\binom{k}{i}\\
&=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\binom{2k}{k}x^k\sum_{i=0}^{k}\binom{k+i}{i}\binom{k}{i}\sum_{m=k+i}^{n-1}(4m+3)\binom{m}{k+i}\\
&=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\binom{2k}{k}x^k\sum_{i=0}^{k}\left((4n-1)\binom{n}{k+i+1}-4\binom{n}{k+i+2}\right)\binom{k+i}{i}\binom{k}{i}. \qquad (4.1)
\end{aligned}$$

For any non-negative integer $k \leqslant n-1$, to prove that the coefficient of x^k in the right-hand side of (4.1) is a multiple of n, it suffices to show that

$$\binom{2k}{k}\sum_{i=0}^{k}\left(\binom{n}{k+i+1}+4\binom{n}{k+i+2}\right)\binom{k+i}{i}\binom{k}{i}\equiv 0 \pmod{n}. \tag{4.2}$$

We shall accomplish the proof of (4.2) by using a minor trick. Rewrite the left-hand side of (4.2) as

$$\begin{aligned}&\binom{2k}{k}\sum_{i=0}^{k+1}\binom{n}{k+i+1}\left(\binom{k+i}{i}\binom{k}{i}+4\binom{k+i-1}{i-1}\binom{k}{i-1}\right)\\ &=\sum_{i=0}^{k+1}\binom{n}{k+i+1}\binom{k+i}{i}\binom{k+1}{i}\binom{2k}{k}\frac{k^2+3i^2+k+i}{(k+i)(k+1)}.\end{aligned}$$

Then, by Lemma 3.3, for each $i \leqslant k+1$, the expression

$$\binom{k+i}{i}\binom{k+1}{i}\binom{2k}{k}\frac{k^2+3i^2+k+i}{(k+i)(k+1)}.$$

is a multiple of $k+i+1$. Finally, noticing that

$$\binom{n}{k+i+1}(k+i+1)=n\binom{n-1}{k+i}\equiv 0 \pmod{n},$$

we complete the proof. □

Second Proof of (1.1). This proof is motivated by [20, Lemma 3.4 and its proof]. It is clear that (1.1) is equivalent to the following congruence:

$$\binom{2j}{j}\sum_{k=j}^{n-1}(4k+3)\binom{k}{j}^2\equiv 0 \pmod{n}. \tag{4.3}$$

Denote the left-hand side of (4.3) by u_j. Then by Zeilberger's algorithm [12], we have

$$\begin{aligned}u_{j+1}-u_j&=-\binom{2j}{j}\binom{n-1}{j}^2\frac{(9j+6)(j+1)n^2+(12j^2-8jn-4n+14j+4)n^3}{(j+1)^3(j+2)}\\ &=-\binom{2j}{j}\binom{n-1}{j}\binom{n+1}{j+1}\frac{(9j+6)n^2}{(j+1)(n+1)}\\ &\quad-\binom{2j}{j}\binom{n}{j+1}\binom{n+1}{j+1}\frac{(12j^2-8jn-4n+14j+4)n^2}{(j+1)(n+1)}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Noticing that $\frac{1}{j+1}\binom{2j}{j}$ is an integer and $n+1$ is relatively prime to n, from (4.4) we immediately get

$$u_{j+1}-u_j\equiv 0 \pmod{n}.$$

Since $u_0 = 2n^2+n \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$, we conclude that $u_j \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ for all j. This proves (4.3).

Proof of (1.2). By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, similarly to (4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{m=0}^{n-1}(8m^2+12m+5)g_m(-1)\\
&=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\binom{2k}{k}(-1)^k\sum_{i=0}^{k}\left((8n^2-4n+1)\binom{n}{k+i+1}-(16n-12)\binom{n}{k+i+2}\right.\\
&\qquad\left.+16\binom{n}{k+i+3}\right)\binom{k+i}{i}\binom{k}{i}. \qquad (4.5)
\end{aligned}$$

In view of (4.2), it follows from (4.5) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{m=0}^{n-1}(8m^2+12m+5)g_m(-1)\\
&\equiv\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\binom{2k}{k}(-1)^k\sum_{i=0}^{k}\left(\binom{n}{k+i+1}+12\binom{n}{k+i+2}+16\binom{n}{k+i+3}\right)\\
&\qquad\times\binom{k+i}{i}\binom{k}{i}\\
&=\sum_{m=0}^{n-1}\left(\binom{n}{m+1}+12\binom{n}{m+2}+16\binom{n}{m+3}\right)\\
&\qquad\times\sum_{k=0}^{m}(-1)^k\binom{2k}{k}\binom{m}{k}\binom{k}{m-k}\pmod{2n^2}. \qquad (4.6)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the right-hand side of (4.6) may be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{m=0}^{n-1}\left(\binom{n}{m+1}+12\binom{n}{m+2}+16\binom{n}{m+3}\right)S_{m+3}\\
&\quad=\sum_{m=1}^{n}\binom{n}{m}(S_{m+2}+12S_{m+1}+16S_m), \qquad (4.7)
\end{aligned}$$

which is clearly congruent to 0 modulo n by (3.4) and the fact that $m\binom{n}{m}=n\binom{n-1}{m-1}$. $\square$

5 A q-analogue of (1.1)

Define the q-analogue of Sun polynomials as follows:

$$g_n(x;q)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\begin{bmatrix}n\\k\end{bmatrix}_q^2\begin{bmatrix}2k\\k\end{bmatrix}_q x^k.$$

We have the following congruences related to $g_n(x;q)$.

Theorem 5.1 *Let n be a positive integer. Then*

$$(1+q)^2\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}q^{2k}[k+1]_{q^2}g_k(x;q^2)\equiv\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}q^{2k}g_k(x;q^2)\pmod{\prod_{\substack{d\mid n\\ d>1\ is\ odd}}\Phi_d(q)},\tag{5.1}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}q^kg_k(x;q)\equiv 0\pmod{\prod_{\substack{d\mid n\\ d\ is\ even}}\Phi_d(q)},\tag{5.2}$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}x^j[j+1]_{q^2}\begin{bmatrix}2j\\ j\end{bmatrix}_q\sum_{k=j}^{n-1}q^k\begin{bmatrix}k\\ j\end{bmatrix}_q\begin{bmatrix}k+1\\ j+1\end{bmatrix}_q\equiv 0\pmod{\prod_{\substack{d\mid n\\ d>2\ is\ even}}\Phi_d(q)},\tag{5.3}$$

where $[n]_q=\frac{1-q^n}{1-q}$ denotes a q-integer.

Proof. It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}q^{2k}[k+1]_{q^2}g_k(x;q^2)&=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}q^{2k}[k+1]_{q^2}\sum_{j=0}^{k}\begin{bmatrix}k\\ j\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}^2\begin{bmatrix}2j\\ j\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}x^j\\&=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}[j+1]_{q^2}\begin{bmatrix}2j\\ j\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}x^j\sum_{k=j}^{n-1}q^{2k}\begin{bmatrix}k+1\\ j+1\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}\begin{bmatrix}k\\ j\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $d\mid n$ and d is odd. It is easy to see that $\Phi_d(q)$ divides $\Phi_d(q^2)$. Write $j=\gamma d+\delta$, where $0\leqslant\delta\leqslant d-1$. If $d\leqslant 2\delta$, then by the q-Lucas theorem (see Olive [11], Désarménien [4, Proposition 2.2] or Guo and Zeng [8, Proposition 2.1]),

$$\begin{bmatrix}2j\\ j\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}\equiv\binom{2\gamma+1}{\gamma}\begin{bmatrix}2\delta-d\\ \delta\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}=0\pmod{\Phi_d(q)}.$$

Now assume that $\delta\leqslant\frac{d-1}{2}$. Then applying the q-Lucas theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=j}^{n-1}q^{2k}\begin{bmatrix}k+1\\ j+1\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}\begin{bmatrix}k\\ j\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}&=\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\frac{n}{d}-1}\sum_{\beta=0}^{d-1}q^{2(\alpha d+\beta)}\begin{bmatrix}\alpha d+\beta+1\\ \gamma d+\delta+1\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}\begin{bmatrix}\alpha d+\beta\\ \gamma d+\delta\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}\\&\equiv\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\frac{n}{d}-1}\binom{\alpha}{\gamma}^2\sum_{\beta=0}^{d-1}q^{2\beta}\begin{bmatrix}\beta+1\\ \delta+1\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}\begin{bmatrix}\beta\\ \delta\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}\pmod{\Phi_d(q)}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.4}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\beta=0}^{d-1} q^{2\beta}\begin{bmatrix}\beta+1\\ \delta+1\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}\begin{bmatrix}\beta\\ \delta\end{bmatrix}_{q^2} &= \sum_{r=0}^{d-1-\delta} q^{2r+2\delta}\begin{bmatrix}r+\delta+1\\ \delta+1\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}\begin{bmatrix}r+\delta\\ \delta\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}\\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{d-1-\delta} q^{2\delta+6r+4r\delta+2r^2}\begin{bmatrix}-\delta-2\\ r\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}\begin{bmatrix}-\delta-1\\ r\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}\\ &\equiv \sum_{r=0}^{d-1-\delta} q^{2(d-2-\delta-r)(d-1-\delta-r)-4\delta-2\delta^2-4}\begin{bmatrix}d-\delta-2\\ r\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}\begin{bmatrix}d-\delta-1\\ d-\delta-1-r\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}\\ &= q^{-4\delta-2\delta^2-4}\begin{bmatrix}2d-2\delta-3\\ d-1-\delta\end{bmatrix}_{q^2} \pmod{\Phi_d(q)}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

where we have used the q-Chu-Vandemonde identity (see [2, (3.3.10)]) in the last step. Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix}2d-2\delta-3\\ d-1-\delta\end{bmatrix}_{q^2} \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_d(q)}$$

for $\delta \leqslant \frac{d-3}{2}$. This proves that

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{k=j}^{n-1} q^{2k}\begin{bmatrix}k+1\\ j+1\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}\begin{bmatrix}k\\ j\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}\\ &\quad\equiv \begin{cases}\displaystyle\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\frac{n}{d}-1}\binom{\alpha}{\gamma}^2 q^{\frac{d-5}{2}}\begin{bmatrix}d-2\\ \frac{d-1}{2}\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}, & \text{if } j\equiv\tfrac{d-1}{2} \pmod{d},\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases} \pmod{\Phi_d(q)}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, writing $j=\gamma d+\frac{d-1}{2}$ and applying the q-Lucas theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}[j+1]_{q^2}\begin{bmatrix}2j\\ j\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}x^j\sum_{k=j}^{n-1} q^{2k}\begin{bmatrix}k+1\\ j+1\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}\begin{bmatrix}k\\ j\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}\\ &\quad\equiv \sum_{\gamma=0}^{\frac{n}{d}-1}\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\frac{n}{d}-1}\binom{2\gamma}{\gamma}\binom{\alpha}{\gamma}^2 x^{\gamma d+\frac{d-1}{2}} q^{\frac{d-5}{2}}\begin{bmatrix}\frac{d+1}{2}\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}\begin{bmatrix}d-1\\ \frac{d-1}{2}\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}\begin{bmatrix}d-2\\ \frac{d-1}{2}\end{bmatrix}_{q^2}\\ &\quad\equiv \sum_{\gamma=0}^{\frac{n}{d}-1}\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\frac{n}{d}-1}\binom{\alpha}{\gamma}^2\frac{x^{\gamma d+\frac{d-1}{2}}}{q(1+q)^2} \pmod{\Phi_d(q)},\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the congruence

$$\begin{bmatrix}d-1\\ k\end{bmatrix}_{q^2} \equiv (-1)^k q^{-k(k+1)} \pmod{\Phi_d(q)} \quad \text{for } 0\leqslant k\leqslant d-1.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} q^{2k} g_k(x;q^2) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \begin{bmatrix} 2j \\ j \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} x^j \sum_{k=j}^{n-1} q^{2k} \begin{bmatrix} k \\ j \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}^2.$$

Similarly as before, if $j = \gamma d + \delta$ and $\delta \leqslant \frac{d-1}{2}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=j}^{n-1} q^{2k} \begin{bmatrix} k \\ j \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}^2 &\equiv \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\frac{n}{d}-1} \binom{\alpha}{\gamma}^2 \sum_{\beta=0}^{d-1} q^{2\beta} \begin{bmatrix} \beta \\ \delta \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}^2 \\
&= \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\frac{n}{d}-1} \binom{\alpha}{\gamma}^2 \sum_{r=0}^{d-\delta-1} q^{2\delta+4r+4r\delta+2r^2} \begin{bmatrix} -\delta-1 \\ r \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}^2 \\
&\equiv \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\frac{n}{d}-1} \binom{\alpha}{\gamma}^2 q^{-2\delta-2\delta^2-2} \begin{bmatrix} 2d-2\delta-2 \\ d-1-\delta \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}^2 \pmod{\Phi_d(q)}. \qquad (5.6)
\end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that the right-hand side of (5.6) divisible by $\Phi_d(q)$ for $\delta \leqslant \frac{d-3}{2}$, which means that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} q^{2k} g_k(x;q^2) &\equiv \sum_{\gamma=0}^{\frac{n}{d}-1} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\frac{n}{d}-1} \binom{2\gamma}{\gamma} \binom{\alpha}{\gamma}^2 x^{\gamma d+\frac{d-1}{2}} q^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} d-1 \\ \frac{d-1}{2} \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}^2 \\
&\equiv \sum_{\gamma=0}^{\frac{n}{d}-1} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\frac{n}{d}-1} \binom{2\gamma}{\gamma} \binom{\alpha}{\gamma}^2 \frac{x^{\gamma d+\frac{d-1}{2}}}{q} \pmod{\Phi_d(q)}.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves (5.1).

Now assume that d is an even divisor of n. Similarly to (5.6), we have

$$\sum_{k=j}^{n-1} q^k \begin{bmatrix} k \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_d(q)} \quad \text{for } j = \gamma d + \delta \text{ and } 0 \leqslant \delta \leqslant \frac{d}{2} - 1.$$

On the other hand, if $j = \gamma d + \delta$ with $\frac{d}{2} \leqslant \delta \leqslant d-1$, then by the q-Lucas theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2j \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q = \begin{bmatrix} 2\gamma d + 2\delta \\ \gamma d + \delta \end{bmatrix}_q \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_d(q)}.$$

This proves (5.2).

Suppose that $d > 2$ is even and $d \mid n$. Similarly to (5.4) and (5.5), we get

$$\sum_{k=j}^{n-1} q^k \begin{bmatrix} k \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} k+1 \\ j+1 \end{bmatrix}_q \equiv \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\frac{n}{d}-1} \binom{\alpha}{\gamma}^2 q^{-2\delta-\delta^2-2} \begin{bmatrix} 2d-2\delta-3 \\ d-1-\delta \end{bmatrix}_q \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_d(q)}$$

for $j=\gamma d+\delta$ and $0\leqslant\delta\leqslant\frac{d}{2}-2$. On the other hand, if $j=\gamma d+\delta$ with $\frac{d}{2}\leqslant\delta\leqslant d-1$, then

$$\begin{bmatrix}2j\\ j\end{bmatrix}_q\equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_d(q)}.$$

while if $j=\gamma d+\frac{d}{2}-1$, then

$$[j+1]_{q^2}\equiv\frac{1-q^d}{1-q^2}\equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_d(q)}.$$

This proves (5.3). □

Recall that for $d>1$, we have

$$\Phi_d(1)=\begin{cases}p, & \text{if } d=p^\alpha \text{ is a prime power,}\\ 1 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Write $n=2^r n_1$, where n_1 is an odd integer. Then

$$\prod_{\substack{d|n\\ d>1 \text{ is odd}}}\Phi_d(1)=n_1,\quad\text{and}\quad\prod_{\substack{d|n\\ d \text{ is even}}}\Phi_d(1)=2^r.$$

Letting $q=1$ in (5.1)–(5.3), we immediately get

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(4k+3)g_k(x)\equiv 0 \pmod{n_1}, \tag{5.7}$$

and

$$2\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}kg_k(x)\equiv\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}g_k(x)\equiv 0 \pmod{2^r}. \tag{5.8}$$

It is clear that (1.1) follows from (5.7) and (5.8). Therefore, Theorem 5.1 may be deemed a q-analogue of (1.1).

6 An open problem

Numerical calculation suggests the following conjecture on congruences involving S_n.

Conjecture 6.1 *Let n be a positive integer and p a prime. Then*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^k\frac{S_{k+2}+12S_{k+1}+16S_k}{k}\equiv 0 \pmod{n}, \tag{6.1}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{p}(-1)^k\frac{S_{k+2}+12S_{k+1}+16S_k}{k}\equiv 2p(-1)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \pmod{p^2}.$$

By (4.6)–(4.7), it is easy to see that if the $n = p$ case of (6.1) is true, then we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1}(8k^2+12k+5)g_k(-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}.$$

which is a special case of (1.3) and (1.4) conjectured by Z.-W. Sun.

Acknowledgment. The authors would like to thank Professor Zhi-Wei Sun for helpful comments.

References

[1] G.E. Andrews, A theorem on reciprocal polynomials with applications to permutations and compositions, Amer. Math. Monthly 82 (1975), 830–833.

[2] G.E. Andrews, The Theory of Partitions, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.

[3] G.E. Andrews, The Friedman–Joichi–Stanton monotonicity conjecture at primes, Unusual Applications of Number Theory (M. Nathanson, ed.), DIMACS Ser. Discrete Math. Theor. Comp. Sci., vol. 64, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 2004, pp. 9–15.

[4] J. Désarménien, Un analogue des congruences de Kummer pour les q-nombres d'Euler, European J. Combin. 3 (1982), 19–28.

[5] I.M. Gessel, Super ballot numbers, J. Symbolic Comput. 14 (1992), 179–194.

[6] V.J.W. Guo, Proof of two divisibility properties of binomial coefficients conjectured by Z.-W. Sun, Electron. J. Combin. 21(2) (2014), #P2.54.

[7] V.J.W. Guo and C. Krattenthaler, Some divisibility properties of binomial and q-binomial coefficients, J. Number Theory 135 (2014), 167–184.

[8] V.J.W. Guo and J. Zeng, Some arithmetic properties of the q-Euler numbers and q-Salié numbers, European J. Combin. 27 (2006), 884–895.

[9] W. Koepf, Hypergeometric Summation, an Algorithmic Approach to Summation and Special Function Identities, Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1998.

[10] G.-S. Mao and Z.-W. Sun, Two congruences involving harmonic numbers with applications, Int. J. Number Theory, in press.

[11] G. Olive, Generalized powers, Amer. Math. Monthly 72 (1965), 619–627.

[12] M. Petkovšek, H. S. Wilf and D. Zeilberger, $A = B$, A K Peters, Ltd., Wellesley, MA, 1996.

[13] V. Reiner, D. Stanton, and D. White, The cyclic sieving phenomenon, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 108 (2004), 17–50.

[14] J. Riordan, Combinatorial Identities, J. Wiley, New York, 1979.

[15] R.P. Stanley, Log-concave and unimodal sequences in algebra, combinatorics, and geometry, in: Graph Theory and Its Applications: East and West (Jinan, 1986), Ann. New York Acad. Sci., 576, New York Acad. Sci., New York, 1989, pp. 500–535.

[16] R.P. Stanley, Enumerative Combinatorics, vol. 2, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.

[17] Z.-W. Sun, On sums of Apéry polynomials and related congruences, J. Number Theory 132 (2012), 2673–2699.

[18] Z.-W. Sun, Connections between $p = x^2 + 3y^2$ and Franel numbers, J. Number Theory 133 (2013), 2914–2928.

[19] Z.-W. Sun, Conjectures and results on $x^2 \mod p^2$ with $p^2 = x^2 + dy^2$, in: Number Theory and Related Area, Y. Ouyang, C. Xing, F. Xu and P. Zhang, Eds., Adv. Lect. Math. 27, Higher Education Press and International Press, Beijing–Boston, 2013, pp. 149–197.

[20] Z.-W. Sun, Congruences involving $g_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 \binom{2k}{k} x^k$, Ramanujan J., doi:10.1007/s11139-015-9727-3.